Seeds of Resistance

GMO Deregulation and Grassroots Mobilization

Executive Summary

Navdanya international

This briefing document offers a comprehensive, critical overview of the accelerating global transformation of seed and food systems driven by the deregulation of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and New Genomic Techniques (NGTs), commonly referred to as gene editing.

Across continents, biosafety standards and public safeguards—once considered essential bulwarks against environmental and social risk—are being systematically eroded.

Proponents, including major agritech companies and aligned governments, increasingly present new biotechnologies as “natural-like,” distinguishing them from older GMOs, and arguing that regulatory reform is needed for innovation and climate resilience.

Yet this narrative is strongly contested by scientists, social movements, indigenous communities, and ecological farmers who warn that the consequences reach far beyond technical risk, encompassing deep questions of democracy, sovereignty, and the very definition of life.

Global Deregulation Trend

In the past decade, a striking global convergence has emerged.

States are enacting reforms that roll back or bypass biosafety assessments for NGTs, increasingly removing requirements for traceability and labeling.

Regulatory “innovations” such as the “Argentina model”—now replicated across Latin America and influential worldwide—classify gene-edited organisms without foreign DNA as non-GMO, enabling rapid commercialization with minimal oversight.

These changes diminish institutional transparency, shift burdens from corporate actors to farmers and consumers, and have direct repercussions on agrobiodiversity and food sovereignty.

Scientific Disagreement and Risks

Contradicting industry claims, independent science underlines persistent uncertainty and emerging risk:

- There is currently no international scientific consensus ensuring the safety of GMOs or NGTs. Analyses consistently report unintended genetic changes, chromosomal instabilities, and metabolic effects that surpass those seen in traditional or organic plant breeding.

- Techniques such as CRISPR/Cas create both intended and substantial “off-target” mutations, with consequences often underestimated due to limited detection methodologies.

- Field experience and studies illustrate negative outcomes, from lower yields in “climate resilient” crops to altered nutrient profiles, such as the multiple, potentially unforeseen impacts in gene-edited (GABA-enriched) tomatoes.

- Ecological risks multiply: gene flow and contamination events have been documented for wild species, endangering local biodiversity. The spread of herbicide-resistant crops is fueling resistant weeds, further destabilizing agricultural ecosystems.

- The advancement of gene drive organisms, aimed at engineering entire populations, poses new, potentially irreversible threats to global ecosystems.

The undermining of the precautionary principle is widespread. Countries once considered international leaders—such as the EU—now debate whether to remove risk assessment, traceability, or labeling for gene-edited organism, a move strongly opposed by environmental, organic, and farmer organizations.

Corporate Control and Biopiracy

Deregulation unleashes new forms of corporate enclosure of biological resources:

- “Natural-like” gene-edited seeds, paradoxically, fall under patent regimes, enabling corporations such as Corteva, Bayer, Syngenta, and others to expand private control over methods, traits, and even natural genetic variants.

- The widespread use of Digital Sequence Information (DSI)—digitalized genetic data—allows for the patenting and privatization of traits found in wild or farmer-bred crops, often circumventing international safeguards like the Nagoya Protocol.

- Licensing systems and patent walls increasingly restrict the ability of small breeders, farmers, or public bodies to access or save traditional seeds, expanding patterns of biopiracy and enclosure of the commons.

- The spread of proprietary GMOs and NGTs deepens farmers’ dependency and legal vulnerability, while threatening seed exchanges, collective innovation, and agrobiodiversity.

Cultural Identity and Sovereignty

The debate is not simply scientific or economic—it is profoundly political and cultural:

- Seed sovereignty is at the heart of indigenous, farmer, and civil society organizing, casting the defense of seeds as a question of identity, autonomy, and ecological justice.

- Communities frame seeds as living ancestors, sources of cosmological and practical knowledge, and legacies of collective stewardship—directly opposing commodification and the reduction of life to intellectual property or code.

- The decline of biosafety and the spread of seed patents threaten not only ecosystem resilience but the intergenerational transfer of knowledge critical for genuine, climate-resilient innovation.

Grassroots Resistance and Legal Victories

Around the world, grassroots alliances—spanning farmers' networks, Indigenous movements, NGOs, and consumer coalitions—are mounting robust resistance to deregulation and corporate seed control.

Through legal challenges, direct mobilization, educational campaigns, and community-led seed initiatives, these movements are forcing critical debate and, in some cases, halting or reshaping policy directions.

Landmark efforts include legal bans on GM seeds and defense of native crops in Mexico and Colombia, mass protests and advocacy actions across Europe (notably in Italy, Germany, and France), and the proliferation of seed fairs and territorial GMO-free declarations in Latin America, Africa, and Asia.

These diverse actions underscore that the struggle for seed sovereignty, risk assessment, and food democracy is far from resolved—and continues to unfold across regions and legal systems.

Regional Highlights

Latin America

Latin America remains the central stage of the global GMO struggle, shaped by policies that promote rapid approval and minimal oversight—most notably through the spread of the “Argentina model.”

While agribusiness-driven monocultures and chemical-intensive commodity chains dominate in Argentina and Brazil, the region also leads in organized, visionary resistance.

Moratoria have been upheld by Andean countries; in Guatemala, grassroots mobilizations succeeded in overturning the “Monsanto Law”; Ecuador and Venezuela enshrined constitutional bans on GMOs; and Mexico’s recent constitutional reform to safeguard native maize establishes a world precedent.

The rise of NGTs intensifies these divides, with social movements and progressive governments holding firm on precaution, food sovereignty, and community rights despite international pressure and the complexities of trade agreements.

Regional Highlights

Asia

Asia exemplifies both innovation and resistance in GMO regulation. Fragmented regulatory approaches—ranging from rapid adoption in the Philippines or Bangladesh to moratoria and greater caution in India and parts of China—reflect a landscape shaped by fierce debate over risk and sovereignty.

The introduction of CRISPR technologies has deepened conflicts, with governments promoting deregulation while farmers, courts, and civil society demand transparency, precaution, and local control.

Landmark victories in the Philippines have blocked GM crops, and Asia's movements continue to assert that food futures must prioritize democratic choice, cultural heritage, and ecological resilience.

Regional Highlights

Africa

Africa faces intensifying pressure to adopt GMO and gene-edited crops, framed as solutions to hunger and climate instability by powerful industry and philanthropic interests.

AGRA and similar initiatives have flooded local systems with external technologies, yet the reality for smallholders is increasing dependency and the erosion of traditional seed systems. Patent laws, monocultures, and deregulation undermine farmer autonomy and biodiversity.

Civil society and farmers' networks are mobilizing for a paradigm change—calling for agroecology, robust biosafety, and community-led decision-making to reclaim seed freedom and food sovereignty.

Regional Highlights

Oceania

Australia and New Zealand were once global leaders in GMO precaution and public engagement, shaped by ecological risk and Indigenous rights.

Recent years, however, have brought abrupt deregulation, privileging industry innovation over biosafety and community participation. Laws are being amended to allow easier market entry for gene-edited organisms, especially those using CRISPR.

Civil society and Indigenous advocates warn that these changes threaten ecological integrity and democratic process, as market ambitions take precedence over transparency and precaution.

Regional Highlights

Europe

Europe stands at a regulatory crossroads as the EU and post-Brexit UK consider sweeping deregulation that would exempt many gene-edited crops from traceability, labeling, and risk assessment.

These moves, driven by intensive industry lobbying, provoke mass mobilizations from organic farmers, environmental groups, and food democracy coalitions. Hundreds of organizations demand the upholding of the precautionary principle and robust consumer safeguards.

National protests in France, Germany, and Italy reflect a broad resistance to corporate consolidation of seeds and the dismantling of Europe's historically strong regulations for biodiversity and consumer rights.

Conclusion: Seeds of Democracy and the Way Forward

At its core, the worldwide struggle over GMOs and gene editing is a fight for the future of food, biodiversity, and democracy.

The choice is stark: accept the enclosure of the seed commons, or regenerate genuinely innovative, participatory, agroecological food systems.

Communities, scientists, and organizations aligned with the principles of biodiversity, transparency, and democratic governance are charting alternatives—proving that real climate resilience, nutrition, and sovereignty arise not through the genetic modification of life, but the continued evolution and sharing of traditional, diverse seeds.

As Navdanya International and allied movements insist, the future belongs to those who defend seeds as living heritage, uphold ecological knowledge, and choose the economy of care over the logic of commodification.

In the face of ever more powerful corporate and technological actors, a new ecological democracy—rooted in seed freedom, food justice, and the rights of communities to govern their food and future—remains not only possible, but urgently necessary.

Seeds of Resistance :
GMO Deregulation and Grassroots Defiance

Executive Summary

Cover illustration: Sara Filippi Plotegher

Contacts: info@navdanyainternational.org;
www.navdanyainternational.org


Navdanya
international